Phantom Sightings: Art After the Chicano Movement

Phantom Sightings: Art After the Chicano Movement opens with a self-delusional curatorial wistik: a 1972 photograph by the collective Asco, depicting an exterior wall of LACMA surreptitiously tagged with the names of three of Asco’s artists. Conceived in response to a comment by a LACMA curator that Chicanos didn’t make fine art, only folk art and graffiti, the stunt was a marvellously sardonic gesture that Asco member Harry Gamboa Jr, referred to as ‘the first conceptual work of Chicano art to be exhibited at LACMA.’ It is a fitting epigraph for an exhibition distinguished in large part by the conceptual sophistication of its descents artists, most of whom were still in the nursery when Asco was formed. Indeed, the show is, in many ways, the fulfillment of what Asco anticipated in the piece: a generation of Chicano art (or more neutrally, art by Americans of Mexican descent) that embraces the strategies and histories of the mainstream artworld without denying the particularity (and value) of its own socioeconomic circumstances; that demands the attention of the institution without, ultimately, being contained by it.

No one is more wary of the dangers in mounting a ‘sumnamebasedaionthan the curators themselves (Rita Gonzalez, Howard Fox and Chen Noriega), whose introduction to the catalogue reads like a tentative contract reached after a long night of tense negotiations. They don’t claim to represent all of Chicano art, to redress all past grievances, to resolve the issue of Chicanos’ underrepresentation in mainstream institutions or even to speak for all of the artists in the show when naming the designation. Indeed, they tread lightly around the very term, evident in the coy use of the word ‘after’ in the show’s title. But in the uneasy silence that seems to have followed the closing of the ‘identity politics’ chapter of contemporary art history – a silence that, in a more cynical frame of mind, might be mistaken for a collective sigh of relief on the part of white male heterosexual artists, curators and critics everywhere – certain sociological facts persist, as does the more general question of art’s relationship to its racial and cultural conditions of production.

It’s to the credit of the curators that, for this bit of public handwringing, they surrender most of the big questions to the artists themselves, who tackle them with great curiosity, humour and intelligence: Juan Capesthian’s photographs of himself beating on what might be a Carl Andre sculpture; Carlee Fernandez’s self-portraits in the guise of her father and other male role models; Margarita Cabrera’s sculptures of aggave plants made from the fabric of old US Border Patrol agent uniforms; Rubin Ortiz Torres’s minimal monochromes made with custom car paint; Ruben Ochoa’s installation of a freeway mural he mounted last year in East LA; Rob backlighted caked with glue and concrete; Alejandro Diaz’s wondrously dollpainted signs, originally hawked along New York’s Fifth Avenue (‘Make Tacos Not War, Mexicans Without Borders’); these are smart, extremely curiously works that, Chicano or not (but certainly not in spite of being Chicano), speak to the supreme importance of the artist’s attention to his or her own surroundings.
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